Document 32683-5 PageID: 185310

## **Exhibit D**

Case 3:16-md-02738-MAS-RLS Document 32683-5 Filed 06/03/24 Page 2 of 4

TRIAL DAY 19, AUGUST 24, 2018 Page 2: 485311 WEIRICK, et al. vs. BRENNTAG NORTH AMERICA, INC., et al.

SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES DEPARTMENT NE-P HON. MARGARET L. OLDENDORF, JUDGE CAROLYN WEIRICK, ET AL., ) CASE NO. BC656425 PLAINTIFFS, VS. BRENNTAG NORTH AMERICA, INC., ET AL., Certified Transcript

DEFENDANTS.

TRIAL DAY 19 - P.M. SESSION REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS AUGUST 24, 2018

## **APPEARANCES:**

FOR THE PLAINTIFFS: SIMON GREENSTONE PANATIER

BY: JAY STUEMKE, ESQ.

1201 ELM STREET, SUITE 3400

DALLAS, TEXAS 75270

SIMON GREENSTONE PANATIER

BY: JORDAN BLUMENFELD-JAMES, ESQ. 3780 KILROY AIRPORT WAY, SUITE 540

LONG BEACH, CALIFORNIA 90806

(APPEARANCES CONTINUED NEXT PAGE)

REPORTED BY: DEBORAH MORIN, CSR NO. 11558

OFFICIAL REPORTER PRO TEMPORE

Page 2921

1	Q AND YOU SELECTED HIM?
2	A YES, SIR, I DID.
3	Q AND HE USED PLM?
4	A THAT'S WHY I HIRED HIM TO DO THAT, TO USE PLM
5	AND XRD. SO I WOULD HOPE HE WOULD DO THAT BECAUSE THAT
6	WAS THE INSTRUCTIONS, AND HE DID DO IT.
7	Q AND HE DIDN'T FIND ANY ASBESTOS IN
8	MS. WEIRICK'S SAMPLE; CORRECT?
9	A NOT USING THE $R-93$ EPA METHOD OR THE XRD, NO.
10	THAT'S THE PROBLEM WITH IT, OF COURSE.
11	Q AND IT'S TRUE, ISN'T IT, THAT YOU
12	MOTION TO STRIKE AFTER "NO."
13	THE COURT: OVERRULED.
14	BY MR. PARKER:
15	Q ISN'T IT TRUE WELL, LET ME JUST SAY THIS.
16	IS IT YOUR OPINION THAT IF YOU USE THE PLM
17	METHOD, YOU CANNOT FIND IN MS. WEIRICK'S SAMPLE ANY
18	AMPHIBOLES AT ALL?
19	A THE $R-93$ METHOD YOU WILL NOT FIND IT, NO.
20	Q ISN'T IT TRUE, SIR, THAT THE REASON YOU DID
21	NOT WANT TO USE THE PLM METHOD IS YOUR RELIANCE ON
22	BLOUNT DESPITE DR. MILLETTE'S ARTICLE OF LEE POYE'S USE
23	BECAUSE YOU KNEW YOU WERE NOT GOING TO FIND ASBESTOS IN
24	MS. WEIRICK'S BOTTLE?
25	A NO. WE DIDN'T KNOW ANYTHING UNTIL WE DID THE
26	TEM ANALYSIS. AFTER WE SAW THE TEM ANALYSIS, WE KNOW
27	THOSE CONCENTRATIONS. THE PLM METHOD IS NOT APPROPRIATE
28	TO DO AN EVALUATION FOR THESE TYPES OF PRODUCTS.

TRIAL DAY 19, AUGUST 24, 2018 Page Dis 185313

WEIRICK, et al. vs. BRENNTAG NORTH AMERICA, INC., et al.

1	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
2	FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES
3	DEPARTMENT NE-P HON. MARGARET L. OLDENDORF, JUDGE
4	
5	CAROLYN WEIRICK, ET AL., )
6	) CASE NO. BC656425 PLAINTIFFS, )
7	VS.
8	BRENNTAG NORTH AMERICA, INC., ET )
	AL.,
9	DEFENDANTS. )
10	)
11	
12	
13	
14	I, DEBORAH MORIN, CSR NO. 11558, OFFICIAL REPORTER
15	PRO TEMPORE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA,
16	FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES, DO HEREBY CERTIFY THAT THE
17	FOREGOING PAGES, 2861 THROUGH 2967, COMPRISE A FULL, TRUE AND
18	CORRECT TRANSCRIPT OF THE PROCEEDINGS AND TESTIMONY TAKEN IN
19	THE ABOVE-ENTITLED CAUSE ON AUGUST 24, 2018.
20	DATED THIS 25TH DAY OF AUGUST, 2018.
21	
22	Deborah Morin
23	, CSR NO. 11558 DEBORAH MORIN, OFFICIAL REPORTER
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	